Interview Summary

Application No. **09/785,514**

Applicant(s)

Fan

Examiner

Arun Chakrabarti

Art Unit 1634



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Arun Chakrabarti (3)	
Date of Interview Oct 6, 2003	
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's repr	resentative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) L Yes e) X No. If yes, brief	f description:
Claim(s) discussed: All	
Identification of prior art discussed: None	
Agreement with respect to the claims $f(X)$ was reached. $g(X)$ was not reach	ned. h) N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:	
Applicant was informed about the allowability of all pending claims.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendmen available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	niner agreed would render the claims ts that would render the claims allowable is
i) $oldsymbol{oldsymbol{oldsymbol{X}}}$ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the subs	tance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW ESUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements.	a reply to the last Office action has DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required